



Dominion Energy 2026 North Carolina and Virginia Integrated Resource Plan Stakeholder Engagement

April 17, 2026 – Kickoff Meeting

Meeting topics: introduction to Dominion Energy, introduction to the 2026 stakeholder engagement process, overview of initial scoping survey responses

Friday, April 17, 2026 | 10 a.m. – 11 a.m. ET

Meeting Summary

On Friday, April 17, Great Plains Institute (GPI) convened the first meeting in the stakeholder engagement process for Dominion Energy's 2026 Virginia and North Carolina Integrated Resource Plan (IRP). The meeting was hosted virtually via WebEx from 10 a.m. – 11 a.m. ET. In addition to GPI and Dominion Energy staff, 55 external individuals attended the meeting. Attachment A contains a list of the organizations represented.

This document summarizes presentation sections and participant questions that were submitted via the Q&A feature and addressed during the meeting. A comprehensive list of stakeholder questions and answers, including those asked in this meeting and others submitted via the website [contact form](#), is available on Dominion Energy's [website](#).

Questions related to stakeholder engagement process can be directed to DEVIRP2026@gpisd.net.

Objectives

The objectives of this meeting were as follows:

1. Build a shared understanding of Dominion Energy's operations in VA and NC including the Company's resource mix, customer base, and service territory.
2. Build a shared understanding of Dominion Energy's 2026 IRP process, including IRP requirements and considerations, opportunities for stakeholder engagement, and changes that have occurred.
3. Build a shared understanding of topics of interest to stakeholders based on the results of the scoping survey; use results to inform topics of future stakeholder engagement meetings

Agenda

The agenda for this meeting was as follows:

- 10:00 a.m.** Welcome, Introductions, and Engagement Process Overview
- 10:20 a.m.** Overview of Dominion and the 2026 IRP Requirements
- 10:40 a.m.** Stakeholder Scoping Survey Feedback Overview
- 10:45 a.m.** Q&A and Discussion
- 11:00 a.m.** Next Steps and Adjourn

Ground Rules

To enable constructive discussion, GPI established the following ground rules for all meeting participants.

1. **Respect each other:** Respect differences of perspective and the time we have together. Treat each other professionally.
2. **Listen actively:** Stay present and strive to understand others' ideas.
3. **Respect the time:** We want to hear from everyone. Please be mindful of your "mic time" and of others' opportunities to participate in discussion and Q&A.
4. **Focus on today's topics:** Please respect the scope of today's meeting to make the most of our time. Pending or recently enacted legislation and open regulatory dockets are outside the scope of today's meeting.
5. **Viewpoints can change:** Be open to continued learning and growth informed by new information; it is ok for perspectives to shift or change throughout the process.

Meeting Logistics and Participant Interaction

All meeting attendees had the ability to submit questions to GPI and Dominion through the Q&A function, and they had access to a chat that was visible to all participants during this WebEx meeting. GPI staff facilitated the meeting and took notes. By participating in this meeting, attendees consented to being part of the meeting recording. A recording of the meeting as well as accompanying slides has been posted on Dominion Energy's website [at this link](#). Participants are encouraged to submit engagement process questions to this [email address](#) and to submit IRP technical questions through [this website](#).

Meeting Notes

Open, Safety, and Meeting Structure

Trevor Drake, Hallie Turner, and Audrey Negro – Great Plains Institute

GPI staff opened the meeting by establishing meeting objectives, communication options, and ground rules. The chat was available for participants to communicate with one another during this meeting, however facilitators asked that participants submit questions to presenters via the Q&A feature to streamline responding to questions. GPI presented the meeting agenda and asked participants to introduce themselves in the meeting chat.

Dominion Energy shared a safety moment reminding participants to be aware of their surroundings and take necessary precautions when interacting with bees during the spring.

GPI also outlined the schedule for upcoming engagement meetings, which can be found on slide 10 of the accompanying PowerPoint. The three avenues for engagement consist of broad engagement meetings, modeling meetings, and surveys.

Broad engagement meetings will be open to all parties who wish to attend and will provide a forum for a range of questions and perspectives on topics including, but not limited to, areas of interest identified in the scoping survey. IRP Conference 1 (May 8), IRP Conference 2 (June 12), and the Survey & Results Modeling Meeting (August 14th) will be held in-person in Richmond, VA with an option for virtual attendance via WebEx.

Modeling meetings are targeted at individuals with technical expertise in IRP modeling, though all parties are welcome to attend, and will focus on soliciting feedback on modeling inputs and assumptions. Modeling meetings are scheduled for April 29, May 27, and June 26, and will be held virtually via WebEx.

There are two surveys as part of the engagement process. The first was an initial scoping survey that was administered before the kickoff meeting to solicit input on topics of interest for the engagement process, as well as feedback on the engagement process design. The second survey will be issued in July and will solicit input on a stakeholder-derived scenario for modeling.

Q&A: Part I

Facilitators and Dominion Energy staff responded to questions regarding meeting logistics, question and answer logistics, whether this year's IRP will be an update, and how Dominion Energy is forecasting data center load.

Introduction to Dominion Energy and the Integrated Resource Plan

Amanda Prestage – Dominion Energy

Dominion Energy provided an introduction to the Company's mission, service territory, and approach to integrated resource planning. The Company shared an overview of the external factors and planning considerations shaping the 2026 IRP and touched on how these components relate to the Company's mission to provide reliable, affordable, and increasingly clean energy to customers.

Dominion Energy noted that the Company's electric service area relevant to the Virginia and North Carolina IRP must comply with requirements from both the Virginia State Corporation Commission and the North Carolina Utilities Commission. The Company also presented its 2025 summer capacity mix.

The IRP is a long-range (20-year) reliability planning document that assesses reliability, affordability, emissions, and system trade-offs under current laws in a structured, analytical framework that tests various resource portfolios and assumptions across a range of future conditions. The IRP does not determine near-term construction or retirements, nor does it

authorize specific programs, projects, or cost recovery. The 2026 IRP will be an update and not a fully litigated IRP.

As the regulatory landscape changes and evolves, Dominion Energy considers how to incorporate new legislative requirements into each resource plan. HB 429 and SB 249 are examples of recent requirements that will impact the scope, planning horizon, resource evaluation, and stakeholder engagement components of the IRP beginning in 2027.

Scoping Survey – Summary of Topics of Interest

Hallie Turner – Great Plains Institute

GPI presented an overview of major themes and takeaways from the results of the initial scoping survey. GPI sent this survey to stakeholders in March and it concluded on April 1. Stakeholders submitted feedback with an understanding that results would be discussed anonymously during the kickoff webinar. Survey takeaways are intended to serve as a guide for scoping topics of upcoming engagement meetings rather than a comprehensive list of every response received. There will be additional opportunities for feedback during each upcoming meeting.

In response to the survey question asking respondents to rank their highest priority topics of interest for the engagement process, cost & affordability received the highest amount of interest. Other topic areas with high levels of stakeholder interest were load growth, clean energy resources, transmission planning, and reliability.

In response to open-ended survey questions asking participants to describe their desired outcomes for the engagement process, respondents expressed a desire for an honest and transparent forum for two-way communication that allows parties to engage meaningfully with model inputs and assumptions. Respondents also expressed interest in, and shared input regarding, resource-specific modeling decisions. Attachment B contains additional information regarding the scoping survey.

Q&A: Part II

Facilitators addressed questions related to the stakeholder process on the following topics: formats of upcoming meetings, transparency of scoping survey and stakeholder input case survey responses, availability of meeting recordings, and opportunities for additional Q&A.

Dominion Energy staff addressed several questions related to the following subject areas: the scope of the IRP for near-term and long-term planning, assumptions about recent state and federal policy updates, data center growth and transmission planning, and opportunities for stakeholder input to inform the final IRP via the stakeholder survey.

Wrap-Up, Next Steps, Adjournment

Trevor Drake – Great Plains Institute

GPI and Dominion Energy concluded the meeting by reminding attendees to direct all questions about the engagement process to DEVIRP2026@gpisd.net and submit all other questions via

the contact form on Dominion Energy’s website: <https://devirp.dominionenergy.com/stakeholder-questions-and-answers>.

The next meeting, Modeling Meeting 1, will be held virtually on Wednesday, April 29 from 1-3 pm ET. The target audience for this meeting will be parties who have technical expertise and familiarity with energy modeling software and methodologies. All who RSVP are welcome to attend. This meeting will include a more detailed overview of the IRP modeling framework, a targeted discussion on load forecasting methodology, and several opportunities for participant feedback to guide future modeling discussions.

On Friday, May 8th, Great Plains Institute and Dominion Energy will host a half-day IRP Conference which will focus on cost & affordability drivers. This meeting will be in-person in Richmond, VA, from 9am to 12pm, with the option to attend virtually via WebEx.

Great Plains Institute and Dominion Energy thanked participants for their time and participation and adjourned the meeting.

Attachment A

Attendee List

In addition to Dominion Energy staff, GPI facilitation staff, and unaffiliated citizens, the following 34 organizations were represented at this meeting:

Organizations Represented
Amazon
Chesapeake Climate Action
Clean Energy Strategies
Clean Virginia
CloudHQ
Coalition to Protect Prince William County
Commission on Electric Utility Regulation
Community Climate Collaborative
Data Center Coalition
Department of War
Gentry Locke
Grid Strategies
IdeaSmiths LLC
ktcPLAN
Loudoun County
Natural Resources Defense Council

North Carolina Electric Cooperatives
North Carolina Utilities Commission Public Staff
Northampton County
Northern Virginia Electric Cooperative
Piedmont Environmental Council
Protect Fauquier
Sierra Club
Solar United Neighbors
Southern Environmental Law Center
Sunworks NC LLC
The Nature Conservancy
Tyr Energy
Virginia Clean Cities
Virginia Committee on Fair Utility Rates
Virginia Department of Energy
Virginia Farm Bureau
Virginia State Building and Construction Trades Council
Virginia State Corporation Commission

Attachment B

Summary of Stakeholder Scoping Survey Results

Major Topics of Interest (Ranked Answer)

Of the topics of interest identified during the 2024 IRP, along with any additional topics of interest you identified in your answer to the previous question, please rank five topics that you feel are the most important to discuss throughout the 2026 IRP stakeholder engagement process. A ranking of 1 signifies highest importance.

Great Plains Institute (GPI) asked participants to read through a list of topics stakeholders expressed interest in during previous IRP stakeholder engagement processes and to rank 5 topics they were most interested in hearing more about in 2026. GPI gave participants the option to suggest and rank additional topics that were not represented on the existing list.

The following topics have been ranked based on weighted participant interest (if a stakeholder ranked a topic as their first choice, it was given 5 points, while if a stakeholder ranked a topic

their fifth choice, it was given 1 point, with points tallied at the end). The top 10 topics stakeholder expressed interest in are as follows:

1. Cost & affordability
2. Data center growth
3. Load growth
4. Clean energy resources
5. Transmission planning (tie for 5th)
6. VCEA compliance (tie for 5th)
7. Energy efficiency
8. Storage resources
9. Reliability
10. Microgrids & VPPs

Desired Outcomes of the IRP (Written Answer)

In addition to complying with all current legislative and regulatory requirements, what outcomes do you think are most important for Dominion Energy to achieve through its 2026 IRP?

Participants expressed a broad desire for an affordable, reliable, legally-compliant plan that maximizes use of cost-effective clean energy resources and minimizes unnecessary costs to customers in both Virginia and North Carolina.

Participants had several requests and comments related to specific resources, including the following:

1. Grid-enhancing technologies (GETs)
2. Distributed energy resources (DERs), including agrivoltaics
3. Virtual power plants (VPPs)
4. Storage resources
5. Demand response and flexibility
6. Differing views on gas plants
7. Nuclear and SMRs
8. Surplus interconnection

Participants also expressed interest in modeling related decisions and assumptions, including but not limited to the following:

1. Load forecasting
2. VCEA compliance
3. RGGI
4. Volatility of natural gas prices
5. Federal policy changes
6. Recently passed Virginia legislation (e.g., HB 429, HB 343)
7. VEJA
8. Social cost of carbon

Examples of specific requests related to modeling decisions include the following:

- Limit use of build constraints and other arbitrary constraints imposed on the generation and non-generation alternatives the model can select, with sensitivity analyses for any assumptions based on build constraints
- Allow the model to select any resource, including traditional nuclear, demand-side resources (as a dynamic selectable resource rather than static load decrement), VPPs, solar + storage, LDES, and 6-, 8-, & 10-hour batteries – the model should not exclude any resources from the list of selectable resources
- De-duplicate build estimates
- Include a wide sensitivity analysis of potential future prices
- Include a scenario where Dominion fully meets the annual RPS from the VCEA and retires all fossil generation by 2045
- Treat RGGI carbon limits and statewide net zero by 2050 requirement as a starting point, not aspirational goal
- To the extent the model continues to run existing plants at known locations across different portfolios, present those plants' emissions and resulting health impacts as part of the portfolio

Other answers to this question focused on reliability, cost allocation, affordability, economic development, and public health impacts.

Desired Outcomes of Stakeholder Engagement (Written Answer)

What expectations do you have for the 2026 IRP stakeholder engagement process? And/or what would you like to see Dominion Energy or/and GPI do as part of this process to provide opportunities for meaningful engagement?

Parties expressed a desire for honesty, transparency, two-way communication, and a chance to provide feedback on core modeling inputs and assumptions. Groups expressed a desire for greater outside validation and analysis of modeling approaches. Some respondents requested that Dominion publish stakeholder survey responses with Company responses to each suggestion. Others suggested that Dominion could have stakeholders sign confidentiality agreements, hold confidential meetings to review and provide feedback on core modeling decisions, or include two stakeholder modeling scenarios.